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# 

# **ABSTRACT**

Main aim of the project is to study the influence of hydrogen induction on the performance, combustion and emission characteristics of a compression ignition engine fueled with Turpentine oil biofuel. The work is categorized into two phases. For the phase 1, The Turpentine oil biodiesel was mixed with diesel in various proportions. Mainly the B20, B30, B40 and B50 blends were taken into account. The test was conducted on a 5.2 kW four stroke, single cylinder diesel engine with compression ratio of 17.5:1. The results obtained are compared with neat diesel fuel at all the loads. The biodiesel blends show a significant reduction in the BTE when compared to the diesel fuel. The in cylinder pressure and the amount of heat released is also found to be lesser than diesel. The reduction in the CO and NOx emissions was found. But still the smoke and HC emissions were found to be higher than the diesel. The BTE for B20, B30, B40,B50 blends were founded as 31.92% ,31.71%, 31.38%, 30.89%. The HC and CO emissions were 81,83,65,68 ppm and for 0.15%.0.27%,0.21%,0.26% for B20, B30, B40, B50 respectively. It was found that the B20 and B30 blends were promising to have higher BTE and lower emissions.so for the phase 2, B20 and B30 blends was taken for further testing with hydrogen induction. The BTE was found to be increased for the blends B20 and B30 while using hydrogen. The BTE for B20 was 32% and B30 was 33% respectively. The SFC was also found to be decreased with hydrogen induction. The cylinder pressure and the net heat release rate was found to be increased. The emissions of CO, HC, and smoke was decreased. Only the NOx emissions were increased with hydrogen induction. The NOx at full loads were 2513 ppm and 2678 ppm for B20 and B30 blends.
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